



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|---|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/964,755 | 09/28/2001 | Djenana Campara | 13771 | 3112 | |
| 28291 75 | 90 07/16/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| FETHERSTONHAUGH - SMART & BIGGAR | | | KENDALL, CHUCK O | | |
| 1000 DE LA GAUCHETIERE WEST SUITE 3300 MONTREAL, QC H3B 4W5 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2122 | | |
| CANADA | | | DATE MAILED: 07/16/2004 | 4 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

p

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | /// ₄ | | | |
|--|--|---|---|------------------|--|--|--|
| | | Application No. | | / 1/ 1 | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | 09/964,755 | CAMPARA ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | The MAIL INC DATE of this communication on | Chuck Kendall | 2122 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply | pears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence addres | SS | | | |
| THE - Externation - If the - If NC - Failthe - Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing department adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a repoley oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAN | ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this commu | nication. | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 5 | September 2001. | | | | | |
| 2a)[| This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi | s action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowa | e this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| | osed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)🖂 | Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application | ո. | | | | | |
| , | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| · | ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)□ | The specification is objected to by the Examin | er | | | | | |
| · · | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc | | the Examiner. | | | | |
| . • / 🗀 | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | | | .121(d). | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | , , , | • | ` ' | | | |
| Priority i | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | n priority under 35 II S C & 1 | 10(a)-(d) or (f) | | | | |
| | □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documen 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documen | its have been received. Its have been received in App | olication No | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price | = | eceived in this National Stag | ge | | | |
| * 0 | application from the International Burea | , , , , | anivad | | | | |
| " ` | See the attached detailed Office action for a list | t of the certified copies not re | ceiveu. | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | | |
| | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Sun | | | | | |
| | te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | | Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152 | ۸ | | | |
| | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 or No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | | ., | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/964,755

Art Unit: 2122

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is in response to the application filed 09/28/01.
- 2. Claims 1 48 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.n
- 4. Claims 1 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ginter et al. USPN 5,589,900.

Regarding claims 1 & 24, a method of facilitating a transaction involving a target entity having software assets, comprising:

using a software analysis tool to extract information on the target entity's software assets (FIG. 57a, 2080 see EXTRACTand Audit); and

performing at least one subsequent step in the transaction as a function of the extracted information (FIG.57a, 2094).

Regarding claims 2 & 25, a method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the information includes copyright information (FIG.5B see RIGHTS, under permission, Record 808 also see 6:35 – 45).

Regarding claims 3 & 26 a method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the information includes software owner information (9:35-40).

Regarding claims 4 & 27, a method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the information includes third party software information (259:25 – 30).

Application/Control Number: 09/964,755

Art Unit: 2122

Regarding claims 5 & 28, a method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the information includes programming language information (93:32 - 34).

Regarding claims 6 & 29, a method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the information includes a high-level quality assessment of the software assets (192:10 – 15).

Regarding claims 7 & 30, a method as claimed in claim 1, wherein using a software analysis tool comprises:

providing software files to the software analysis tool (FIG.5B, see software, under permission content 304); and

obtaining said information from the software analysis tool (22:12 – 25).

Regarding claims 8 & 31, a method as claimed in claim 7, wherein the software files include source files (93:32 - 34).

Regarding claims 9 & 32, a method as claimed in claim 7, wherein the software files include object files (93:32 - 34).

Regarding claims 10 & 33, a method as claimed in claim 7, wherein the information includes a list of entities having copyright in at least one of the software files (for list see FIG.5B, 808, for RIGHTS and Permissions records).

Regard claims 11 & 34, a method as claimed in claim 7, wherein the information includes a list of entities and the proportion of the software files in which each of said entities has copyright (17:18 – 40).

Regarding claims 12 & 35, a method as claimed in claim 7, wherein the information includes the proportion of the software files in which no entity has copyright.

Regarding claims 13 & 36, a method as claimed in claim 7, wherein the information includes the proportion of the software files in which at least one entity has copyright (45:3 – 15, see rights defined for eligible parties, examiner believes there's atleast one party defined as ineligible as interpreted).

Regarding claims 14 & 37, a method as claimed in claim 7, wherein the information includes a list of creators having created at least one of the software files (FIG.2A, see content creator, 102).

Application/Control Number: 09/964,755

Art Unit: 2122

Regarding claims 15 & 38, a method as claimed in claim 7, wherein the information includes a list of creators and the proportion of the software files created by each of said creators (FIG.2A, see content creator, 102, and 112).

Regarding claims 16 & 39, a method as claimed in claim 7, wherein the information includes the proportion of the software files not specified as being created by any creator (FIG.80).

Regarding claims 17 & 40, a method as claimed in claim 7, wherein the information includes the proportion of the software files specified as being created by at least one Creator (FIG. 80 and 81, Creator A and B).

Regarding claims 18 & 41, a method as claimed in claim 7, wherein the information includes a list of third party software vendors whose products are needed by at least one of the software files (FIG. 12, 730, and associated text, see 108:30 – 35, for third party database vendors).

Regarding claims 19 & 42, a method as claimed in claim 7, wherein the information includes a list of third party software vendors whose operating systems are needed by at least one of the software files (108:30 – 35, for third party database vendors).

Regarding claims 20 & 43, a method as claimed in claim 7, wherein the information includes a list of third party software vendors whose software tools are needed by at least one of the software files (108:30 - 35).

Regarding claims 21 & 44, a method as claimed in claim 7, wherein the information includes a list of programming languages and the proportion of the software files programmed in each of said programming languages (FIG. 74, see software description list database)

Regarding claims 22 & 45, a method as claimed in claim 7, wherein the information includes a list of file formats and the proportion of the software files in each of said file Formats (FIGs,17, 18 and 22 show different formats).

Regarding claims 23 a method as claimed in claim 7, wherein using a software analysis tool further comprises:

running the software analysis tool on the software files (FIG. 67B, 3350).

Art Unit: 2122

Regarding claim 46, a method of facilitating a transaction involving an expanding entity and a target entity having software assets, comprising:

a third party using a software analysis tool to extract information on the target entity's software assets (259:25-30); the third party providing the extracted information to the expanding entity (259:25 – 30); and

the expanding entity performing at least one subsequent step in the transaction as a function of the information provided by the third party (259:25 – 30).

Regarding claim 47, a method as claimed in claim 46, wherein the third party is contracted by the expanding entity (259:25 – 30, see commercial party).

Regarding claim 48, a method as claimed in claim 46, wherein the third party is contracted by the target entity (259:25 – 30, see third party information collection point).

Correspondence Information

4. Any inquires concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuck O. Kendall who may be reached via telephone at (703) 308-6608. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:00 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. est.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Dam can be reached at (703) 305-4552.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

For facsimile (fax) send to 703-7467239 official and 703-7467240 draft

Chuck O. Kendall

Software Engineer Patent Examiner United States Department of Commerce

> WEI Y. ZHEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Application/Control Number: 09/964,755 Art Unit: 2122

Page 6